

TOEPLITZ DETERMINANTS, MULTICRITICAL RANDOM PARTITIONS AND THE DPII HIERARCHY

S. TARRICONE, based on a collaboration with T. CHOUTEAU (Unviersité d'Angers) ArXiv:2211.16898 Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA-CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay

Toeplitz determinants in random partitions

For any $N \ge 1$, we consider the symbol $\varphi^{(N)}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N](z) = \varphi(z) = e^{w(z)}$, with

$$w(z) = v(z) + v(z^{-1})$$
 and $v(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\theta_j}{j} z^j, \ \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in S^1.$

The Toeplitz determinants associated to the symbol $\varphi(z)$ are defined as $D_n =$ $\det(T_n(\varphi))$ with $T_n(\varphi)$ being the **n**-th Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n(\phi)_{i,j} = \phi_{i-j}, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, n$$

where for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, φ_k is the k-th Fourier coefficient of $\varphi(z)$, namely

$$\varphi_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ik\theta} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$
, so that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k z^k = \varphi(z)$.

[7] Consider now on the set of all integer partitions the Schur measures

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Sc.}}(\{\lambda\}) = \mathsf{Z}^{-1} s_{\lambda} \left[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N\right]^2,$$

where s_{λ} is the Schur function indexed by λ which can be computed as $s_{\lambda}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N] =$ $\det_{i,j} h_{\lambda_i-i+j} \left[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N\right], \text{ with } \sum_{k\geq 0} h_k z^k = e^{\nu(z)}, \text{ and } Z = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^2}{i}}.$ **Remark** For N = 1, with $\theta_1 = \theta$, we have $\mathbb{P}_{Sc.}(\{\lambda\}) = \mathbb{P}_{P.Pl.}(\{\lambda\}) = e^{-\theta^2} \left(\frac{\theta^{|\lambda|}F_{\lambda}}{|\lambda|!}\right)^2$, where $|\lambda|$ is the size of λ and F_{λ} is the number of standard Young tableau of shape $\dot{\lambda}$.

[4] In this setting, denoting by $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0)$ a generic integer partition and by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1 \ge \lambda'_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0)$ its conjugate partition (namely such that $\lambda'_{i} = |i: \lambda_{i} \geq j|$), we have the following characterization

$$q_n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Sc.}}(\lambda_1' \leq n) = \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j^2/j} D_{n-1} \quad \mathrm{and} \quad r_n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Sc.}}(\lambda_1 \leq n) = \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \widetilde{\theta}_j^2/j} \widetilde{D}_{n-1},$$

where the Toeplitz determinant \widetilde{D}_n is instead associated to the symbol $\widetilde{\varphi}(z) = e^{\tilde{w}(z)}$ built up by taking $\tilde{\theta}_i = (-1)^{i-1} \theta_i$ and $\tilde{w}(z) = \tilde{v}(z) + \tilde{v}(z^{-1})$ where $\tilde{v}(z)$ is nothing than v(z) with θ_i replaced by $\tilde{\theta}_i$.

Multicritical limiting behavior

[4] Let $\theta_i = (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(N-1)!(N+1)!}{(N-i)!(N+i)!} \theta$. Then the limiting behavior of r_n is described by

$$\lim_{\theta \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Sc.}}\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - b\theta}{(\theta d)^{\frac{1}{2N+1}}} < t\right) = F_N(t) = \det(1 - \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{Ai}_N}|_{(t,\infty)}), \ b = \frac{N+1}{N}, d = \binom{2N}{N-1}^{-1}$$

[6] The Fredholm determinant $F_N(t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 \log F_N(t) = -u^2((-1)^{N+1}(t)),$$

u(t) solving the N-th member of the Painlevé II hierarchy with $u(t) \underset{t \to +\infty}{\sim} \operatorname{Ai}_N(t)$.

such that the following relation holds for any index \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h}

The analogue monic orthogonal polynomials $\pi_n(z)$ are $p_n(z) = \kappa_n \pi_n(z)$. For every $n \geq 1$ the following formula holds

$$p_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

Riemann–Hilbert problem For any fixed $n \ge 1$, the function $Y(z) = Y(z, n; \theta_i)$: $\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ has the following properties (1) $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{z})$ is analytic for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{S}^1$;

(2) Y(z) has continuous boundary values $Y_{\pm}(z)$ are related for all $z \in S^1$ through

(3) Y(z) is normalized as $Y(z) \sim \left(I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_j(n,\theta_i)}{z^j}\right) z^{n\sigma_3}, z \to \infty,$ where σ_3 denotes the Pauli's matrix $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

 $\mathbf{Y}(z)$

where $\pi_{n-1}^*(z)$ is defined as the polynomial of the same degree of $\pi_{n-1}(z)$ such that $\pi_{n-1}^*(z) = z^n \overline{\pi_{n-1}(\overline{z}^{-1})}$ and $(\mathscr{C}f(y))(z)$ is the Cauchy transform of f

Moreover, $det(Y(z)) \equiv 1$.

Keferences

Riemann-Hilbert problems for last passage percolation". Contemp. Math. 326 (2001), no.

Orthogonal polynomials on S⁺

We consider the measure for $z = e^{i\alpha} \in S^1$ given by

$$\mathrm{d}\mu(\alpha) = \phi(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi} = \mathrm{e}^{w(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha})} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{2\pi}.$$

The family $\{p_n(z)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of orthogonal polynomials on the unitary circle w.r.t. the measure $d\mu(\alpha)$ is a sequence of polynomials of increasing degree

$$p_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \ldots \kappa_0, \quad \kappa_n > 0$$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{p_k(e^{i\alpha})} p_h(e^{i\alpha}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(\alpha)}{2\pi} = \delta_{k,h}.$$

$$\frac{1}{\overline{D_n D_{n-1}}} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_0 & \varphi_{-1} & \dots & \varphi_{-n+1} & \varphi_{-n} \\ \varphi_1 & \varphi_0 & \dots & \varphi_{-n+2} & \varphi_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n-1} & \varphi_{n-2} & \dots & \varphi_0 & \varphi_{-1} \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^{n-1} & z^n \end{vmatrix} \implies \frac{D_{n-1}}{D_n} = \kappa_n^2.$$

$$Y_{+}(z) = Y_{-}(z)J_{Y}(z), \text{ with } J_{Y}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n}e^{w(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

[3] The Riemann–Hilbert problem admits a unique solution Y(z) written as

$$\mathcal{P} = egin{pmatrix} \pi_{n}(z) & \mathscr{C}\left(\mathbf{y}^{-n}\pi_{n}(\mathbf{y})\mathrm{e}^{w(\mathbf{y})}
ight)(z) \ -\kappa_{n-1}^{2}\pi_{n-1}^{*}(z) & -\kappa_{n-1}^{2}\mathscr{C}\left(\mathbf{y}^{-n}\pi_{n-1}^{*}(\mathbf{y})\mathrm{e}^{w(\mathbf{y})}
ight)(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\mathscr{C}f(\mathbf{y}))(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{y}-z} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}.$$

In particular, from the fact that $\det(Y(0, n; \theta_i)) = 1$ we obtain for every $n \ge 1$

$$\frac{\mathsf{D}_{n-2}\mathsf{D}_n}{\mathsf{D}_{n-1}^2} = 1 - x_n^2, \text{ with } x_n = \pi_n(\mathfrak{0}) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The connection with the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy

We construct the solution of another Riemann–Hilbert problem, which has in particular z, n-independent jump matrix

$$\Psi(z,n;\theta_i)$$

Thanks to that, the function $\Psi(z, n; \theta_i) = \Psi(z, n)$ is proved to solve the linear system

$$\Psi(z, n+1) = l$$

with

$$\mathbf{l}(z,\mathbf{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} z + x_n x_{n+1} & -x_{n+1} \\ -(1 - x_{n+1}^2) x_n & 1 - x_{n+1}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_+ z + \mathbf{U}_0(\mathbf{n}), \quad \mathbf{T}(z,\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} \mathbf{T}_k(\mathbf{n}) z^{N-k},$$

where $T_1(n) = \frac{\theta_N}{2}\sigma_3$ and $T_j(n) = -K(n)T_{2N+2-j}(n)K(n)^{-1}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, N, T_{N+1}(n) = -K(n)T_{N+1}(n)K(n)^{-1} + nI_2$. This systems turns out to be a Lax pair for the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy. Indeed, the compatibility condition

$$\sigma_{+} = T$$

where x_n solves the 2N order nonlinear discrete equation

 $nx_n + (v_n + v_n Perm_n - 2x_n\Delta^{-1}(x_n - (\Delta + I)x_n Perm_n)) L^{N}(0) = 0$

where L is a discrete recursion operator that acts as follows

$$L(\mathfrak{u}_n) = \left(x_{n+1} \left(2\Delta^{-1} + I \right) \right)$$

and $L(0) = \theta_N x_{n+1}$. Here $v_n = 1 - x_n^2$, Δ denotes the difference operator $\Delta : u_n \to u_{n+1} - u_n$ and $Perm_n$ is the transformation

N=1 In this case x_n solves the second order discrete equation

By defining $x_n = (-1)^n \theta^{-1/3} u(t)$ with $t = (n - 2\theta) \theta^{-1/3}$ and taking the limit $\theta \to +\infty$, dPII₁ scales to the Painlevé II equation $u''(t) = 2u^3(t) + tu(t)$ and the recursion for the Toeplitz determinants to $\partial_t^2 \log F_1(t) = -u^2(t)$. N=2 In this case x_n solves the fourth order discrete equation

dPII₂ $nx_n + \theta_1 v_n (x_{n+1} + x_n)$

By scaling $\theta_1 = \theta$, $\theta_2 = \frac{\theta}{4}$, defining $x_n = (-1)^n \left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right)^{-1/5} u(t)$ with $t = \left(n - \frac{3}{2}\theta\right) \theta^{-\frac{1}{5}} 4^{\frac{1}{5}}$ and taking the limit $\theta \to +\infty$, dPII₂ scales to the second equation of the Painlevé II hierarchy $u''' - 10u(u')^2 - 10u^2u'' + 6u^5 = -tu$ and the recursion for the Toeplitz determinants to $\partial_t^2 \log F_2(t) = -u^2(t)$. **Remark** For N = 1 the result was already proved from different authors in different ways [1, 2, 5, 8].

ns". J. of the Am. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), no. 4. [5] Borodin. "Discrete gap probabilities and discrete Painlevé en

[4] Betea, Bouttier & Walsh. "Multicritical random partitions". 33st International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2021) 85B [6] Cafasso, Claeys & Girotti. "Fredholm Determinant Solutions of the Painlevé II Hierarchy and Gap Probabilities of Determinantal Point Processes". Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 168 (2019).



$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_n^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}(z, n; \theta_j) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{pmatrix} e^{w(z)\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}.$$

 $U(z, n)\Psi(z, n), \quad \partial_z \Psi(z, n) = T(z, n)\Psi(z, n)$

 $\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n}+1,z)\mathsf{U}(\mathsf{n},z) - \mathsf{U}(\mathsf{n},z)\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{n},z)$

• gives a system of discrete (in n) equations for $T_k^{ij}(n), i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ for k = 1, ..., N + 1 that determines all of them in terms of $x_{n\pm i}$, $j = -N, \ldots, N$ recursively (on k), starting from the initial condition for $T_1(n)$.

• Plugging the form obtained for the last coefficient $T_{N+1}(n)$ into the equation for $T_{N+1}(n)$ given above, it finally gives a nonlinear 2N order discrete equation for x_n which is the N-th equation of the discrete Painlevé II hierarchy.

For any fixed $N \ge 1$, for the Toeplitz determinants $D_n, n \ge 1$, we have the following recursion relation

$$\frac{D_n D_{n-2}}{D_{n-1}^2} = 1 - x_n^2$$

I) $((\Delta + I) x_n \operatorname{Perm}_n - x_n) + v_{n+1} (\Delta + I) - x_n x_{n+1}) u_{\lambda}$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{Perm}_{n}: & \mathbb{C}\left[\left(x_{j}\right)_{j\in\left[[0,2n]\right]}\right] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}\left[\left(x_{j}\right)_{j\in\left[[0,2n]\right]}\right] \\ & & \operatorname{P}\left(\left(x_{n+j}\right)_{-n\leqslant j\leqslant n}\right) & \longmapsto & \operatorname{P}\left(\left(x_{n-j}\right)_{-n\leqslant j\leqslant n}\right). \end{array}$

 $\theta_1(x_n^2-1)(x_{n+1}+x_{n-1})=nx_n.$

$$_{-1}) + \theta_2 \nu_n \left(x_{n+2} \nu_{n+1} + x_{n-2} \nu_{n-1} - x_n (x_{n+1} + x_{n-1})^2 \right) = 0.$$